

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,247	09/23/2005	Yun-Jo Kim	3254-0125PUS1	8810
2292	7590 08/30/2006		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EDWARDS, NEWTON O	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHU	RCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1774	
DA			DATE MAILED: 08/30/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/522,247	KIM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this convenient	N Edwards	1774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. In the delay filed the mailing date of this condition (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			Ot a sur-			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmant(a)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PT	U-152)			
S. Patent and Tradamark Office	· — — —					

Application/Control Number: 10/522,247

Art Unit: 1774

LACK OF UNITY ELECTION

This election requirement is made in accordance with 37 CFR 1.499.

Group I: Claims 1-13,19, and 20, directed to a yarn.

Group Ii: Claims 14-18, directed to a method a yarn.

The special technical feature (STF) of group I is the thermal relaxation stress change ration and the area ratio. The forgoing STF is not found in group II claim 14. Thus, there can be no Unity of Invention when the single inventive concept (or STF) is no found in the invention of group II. Hence, Lack of Unity is held by the Primary Examiner in accordance with PCT rule 13 and 37 CFR 1.475.

A telephone call was made to James Eller on 8/23/05 and 8/24/05 but no election was made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Primary Examiner Edwards at telephone number 571-272-1521.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1774

Page 2